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Methodology

To inform prioritization of preparedness and response in Yemen in terms of communicable diseases including COVID-19, this profile summarizes key risk indicators per district in Yemen as a result of the existence of IDP sites/camps. Since many of these sites lack essential services and are home to large numbers of vulnerable people, communicable diseases are a recurring threat. This profile is an overview of Marib district.

Indicators were weighted to determine a district-level Communicable Disease Vulnerability Score for IDP populations living in sites. The Score has been designed in collaboration with the CCCM Cluster and with expert input from the Health and Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) Clusters.*

All information is for humanitarian use only.

*For more information on the methodology, please refer to the methodology note.

District Overview

# of sites (Site Reporting): 37
# of sites (IDP Hosting Sites Master List): 31
Total IDP population (Site Reporting): 19,292
Total IDP population (IDP Hosting Sites Master List): 13,520
Total district population: 85,619

Vulnerable Groups

# of sites with elderly populations (60+): 25/37

Cholera and GAM Indicators

Cholera
District-level attack rate of suspected cholera cases or acute watery diarrhoea per 10,000 population

GAM
District-level rate of GAM

District-level CCCM Communicable Disease Vulnerability Score Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable groups</td>
<td>% of sites with presence of elderly populations (60+)</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of sites with presence of persons with chronic diseases</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats to sites</td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites where infectious diseases were reported as a threat</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites where water contamination was reported as a threat</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical service gap</td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites reporting use of unsafe and/or unprotected water sources</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites reporting inadequate or non-existent WASH services</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites reporting inadequate or non-existent healthcare services</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority needs</td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites reporting water and sanitation services as priority needs</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of IDPs living in sites reporting medical assistance as a priority need</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 OCHA population figures - 2019 projections.
3 As per Sphere Standards
4 The Communicable Disease Vulnerability Score per district is calculated by taking a weighted average of the severity scores for each indicator and is determined using a seven-point scale.
5 See Methodology Note
6 0 (Minimal Vulnerability), • 1 (Minor Vulnerability), • 2 (Moderate Vulnerability), • 3 (Significant Vulnerability), • 4 (Major Vulnerability), • 5 (Severe Vulnerability), • 6 (Critical Vulnerability).
7 Illegal connection to piped network, unprotected rainwater tank/spring, borehole.