

SOLIDARITÉS





Emergency Response Mechanism

Funded by the European Commission's Humanitarian Aid Department (ECHO), the Emergency Response Mechanism (ERM) was established in 2011 as a response to emergencies triggered by ongoing conflict or sudden-onset natural disasters. The ERM programme aims to ensure that a network of aid organisations with operations across Afghanistan have resources (staff, cash reserves and stockpiles of goods) to rapidly and directly respond to localised crises in a coordinated, timely and principled manner. The partners have experience working in Afghanistan and adhere to the humanitarian principles of humanity, neutrality, impartiality, independence and transparency. During the funding phase of ERM 7 (2017-2018) the programme was implemented by seven operational partners covering different regions within Afghanistan. REACH supported ERM 7 partners by providing joint information management, managing and analysing Post-Distribution Montioring, market survey and Household Emergency Assessment Tool (for rapid beneficiary selection) needs assessment data.

Methodology

All partners work with a common methodology, tools and time frame:



Event notification / referral of displaced population through Department of Refugees and Repatriation



HEAT needs and market assessment: Within 5 days after referral



MPC

Dissemination of assessment report: Within 2 days after finalisation of assessment

Multi purpose cash and WASH intervention: Only HHs displaced within the last 6 months are eligible for assistance



Post distribution monitoring: Carried out 2 month after intervention **ERM Post Distribution Monitoring**

REFUGEE

865

JAN

664

FEB

2018

718

OCT

2017

367

SEP


337

AUG

570

473

NOV DEC



NORWEGIAN

REFUGEE COUNCIL

Gender of respondents to PDM survey: Please note that the gender of the respondent does not always correspont with the gender of the HH head.







Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Afghanistan Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Afghanistan, a total of 576 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	5,067
Average number of families per HH:	1.19
Average HH size:	6.63

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	28%
Physically disabled member	16%
Child headed (under 18 years)	3%
Female headed	38%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	28%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 15%
 High

 42%
 Medium

 43%
 Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



31% Poor34% Borderline35% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



24% Severely food insecure34% Moderately food insecure34% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	91%	15%	7%	29%	13%	50%	4%	59%	16%	23%	50%	1%	3%	2%
Over 60	92%	11%	4%	29%	9%	38%	2%	43%	12%	10%	39%	1%	3%	1%
Male	90%	14%	5%	29%	13%	47%	3%	52%	14%	18%	44%	1%	3%	2%
Female	92%	15%	8%	30%	10%	56%	3%	58%	17%	23%	51%	1%	3%	2%
Adult	91%	14%	7%	29%	12%	46%	3%	55%	15%	20%	47%	1%	3%	2%
Child	97%	13%	3%	31%	8%	63%	0%	44%	8%	14%	47%	2%	3%	3%
Overall	90%	16%	8%	32%	14%	48%	3%	55%	21%	22%	42%	1%	4%	2%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	6%	3%	1%	5%	2%	8%	0%	5%	6%	3%	2%	0%	0%
Less than half	41%	12%	6%	25%	10%	32%	1%	37%	14%	16%	28%	1%	4%
More than half	39%	1%	0%	2%	1%	8%	1%	11%	0%	2%	11%	0%	0%
Almost all	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	10%	84%	92%	68%	86%	52%	97%	45%	79%	78%	58%	99%	96%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	33%	16%	9%	5%	35%	39%	12%	7%	28%	23%	4%	14%	4%
Over 60	25%	13%	37%	6%	22%	19%	9%	6%	18%	13%	3%	7%	8%
Male	25%	14%	21%	5%	28%	30%	10%	6%	19%	16%	2%	13%	6%
Female	40%	17%	10%	7%	37%	39%	12%	9%	34%	28%	6%	11%	3%
Adult	31%	15%	17%	5%	31%	34%	11%	7%	25%	20%	4%	12%	5%
Child	34%	22%	13%	13%	41%	31%	6%	5%	34%	20%	3%	8%	6%
Overall	30%	14%	16%	5%	24%	29%	10%	7%	24%	19%	3%	18%	4%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Badakhshan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Badakhshan province a total of 15 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	163
Average number of families per HH:	1.15
Average HH size:	6.53

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	48%
Physically disabled member	11%
Child headed (under 18 years)	1%
Female headed	12%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	31%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



33% High55% Medium12% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



7% Poor16% Borderline77% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



13% Severely food insecure32% Moderately food insecure32% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	87%	10%	3%	7%	6%	31%	0%	23%	9%	40%	49%	0%	0%	1%
Over 60	89%	7%	2%	13%	0%	24%	0%	22%	2%	17%	39%	2%	0%	0%
Male	87%	8%	3%	8%	5%	28%	0%	22%	7%	32%	47%	1%	0%	1%
Female	89%	17%	0%	11%	0%	39%	0%	28%	6%	39%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	87%	9%	3%	9%	4%	29%	0%	23%	7%	33%	46%	0%	0%	1%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	87%	10%	3%	9%	4%	30%	0%	23%	6%	32%	48%	1%	0%	1%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	2%	3%	1%	3%	0%	5%	0%	7%	4%	6%	2%	0%	0%
Less than half	39%	7%	2%	6%	3%	25%	0%	13%	1%	23%	20%	1%	0%
More than half	45%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	3%	23%	0%	0%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	13%	90%	97%	91%	96%	70%	100%	77%	94%	68%	52%	99%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	36%	18%	5%	2%	6%	24%	30%	7%	30%	38%	1%	9%	0%
Over 60	30%	20%	0%	0%	7%	13%	33%	9%	46%	17%	0%	4%	0%
Male	35%	19%	3%	1%	5%	18%	32%	8%	32%	29%	1%	8%	0%
Female	33%	17%	6%	6%	17%	39%	22%	6%	50%	50%	0%	6%	0%
Adult	34%	19%	3%	1%	6%	21%	31%	7%	35%	32%	1%	7%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	35%	19%	4%	1%	6%	22%	30%	7%	53%	31%	1%	7%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Badghis Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Badghis province a total of 24 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	154
Average number of families per HH:	1.13
Average HH size:	6.44

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	26%
Physically disabled member	24%
Child headed (under 18 years)	17%
Female headed	46%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	32%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



5% High 22% Medium

73% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



75% Poor19% Borderline6% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



25% Severely food insecure51% Moderately food insecure51% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	95%	11%	14%	19%	5%	70%	0%	57%	11%	11%	35%	0%	3%	3%
Over 60	88%	0%	0%	18%	0%	71%	0%	59%	0%	0%	53%	0%	0%	0%
Male	90%	3%	3%	17%	3%	82%	0%	59%	7%	3%	41%	0%	0%	0%
Female	96%	12%	16%	20%	4%	56%	0%	56%	8%	12%	40%	0%	4%	4%
Adult	91%	7%	9%	20%	2%	69%	0%	58%	7%	7%	40%	0%	0%	2%
Child	100%	11%	11%	11%	11%	78%	0%	56%	11%	11%	44%	0%	11%	0%
Overall	97%	22%	20%	27%	6%	69%	0%	60%	16%	16%	35%	1%	1%	1%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	22%	21%	20%	25%	6%	40%	0%	48%	16%	16%	19%	1%	1%
More than half	74%	0%	0%	2%	0%	28%	0%	12%	0%	0%	16%	0%	1%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	3%	78%	80%	73%	94%	31%	100%	40%	84%	84%	65%	99%	99%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	54%	22%	0%	0%	57%	8%	8%	3%	54%	14%	0%	8%	0%
Over 60	59%	29%	0%	6%	71%	6%	12%	18%	47%	18%	0%	0%	0%
Male	48%	24%	0%	3%	79%	3%	7%	10%	55%	14%	0%	3%	0%
Female	64%	24%	0%	0%	40%	12%	12%	4%	48%	16%	0%	8%	0%
Adult	60%	18%	0%	2%	67%	9%	11%	9%	53%	16%	0%	2%	0%
Child	33%	56%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	44%	11%	0%	22%	0%
Overall	50%	18%	1%	2%	42%	14%	8%	5%	13%	22%	0%	7%	1%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Baghlan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Baghlan province a total of 16 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	198
Average number of families per HH:	1.11
Average HH size:	7.45

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	10%
Physically disabled member	5%
Child headed (under 18 years)	2%
Female headed	6%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	77%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



1% High
 28% Medium
 71% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



23% Poor59% Borderline18% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:

- 7% Severely food insecure
- 35% Moderately food insecure
- 35% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	75%	8%	0%	8%	3%	48%	8%	45%	0%	13%	23%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	89%	7%	5%	4%	3%	3%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Male	85%	7%	4%	4%	3%	12%	2%	12%	0%	3%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Female	100%	9%	0%	9%	0%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	85%	7%	4%	5%	3%	14%	2%	12%	0%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	85%	6%	3%	5%	4%	21%	2%	15%	1%	4%	9%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	15%	2%	2%	2%	1%	16%	0%	11%	1%	3%	3%	0%	0%
More than half	27%	3%	1%	2%	1%	6%	2%	2%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Almost all	43%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	15%	94%	97%	95%	96%	79%	98%	85%	99%	96%	91%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	28%	15%	28%	3%	5%	43%	13%	3%	13%	5%	0%	3%	0%
Over 60	8%	4%	73%	11%	5%	1%	4%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Male	12%	6%	64%	9%	5%	10%	6%	1%	4%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Female	18%	9%	45%	0%	9%	18%	9%	0%	18%	18%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	12%	6%	62%	9%	5%	11%	6%	1%	5%	2%	0%	2%	0%
Child	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	20%	7%	55%	8%	8%	17%	8%	1%	29%	9%	0%	3%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Balkh Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Balkh province a total of 27 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	203
Average number of families per HH:	1.05
Average HH size:	6.27

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	14%
Physically disabled member	10%
Child headed (under 18 years)	2%
Female headed	71%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	10%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 17%
 High

 52%
 Medium

31% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



28% Poor57% Borderline15% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



20% Severely food insecure60% Moderately food insecure20% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
i	Under 60	89%	15%	2%	13%	0%	43%	2%	80%	9%	22%	41%	0%	9%	9%
	Over 60	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	60%	0%	40%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%
1	Male	93%	33%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	73%	13%	13%	47%	0%	7%	7%
	Female	86%	8%	3%	17%	0%	50%	3%	78%	6%	22%	39%	0%	8%	8%
	Adult	88%	16%	2%	12%	0%	44%	2%	76%	8%	18%	42%	0%	6%	6%
	Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%
	Overall	92%	13%	4%	18%	5%	59%	1%	67%	16%	23%	35%	0%	8%	2%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	57%	10%	3%	16%	5%	39%	0%	34%	16%	19%	12%	0%	8%
More than half	32%	2%	1%	1%	0%	20%	1%	32%	0%	4%	21%	0%	0%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	8%	87%	96%	82%	95%	41%	99%	33%	84%	77%	65%	100%	92%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	13%	7%	11%	9%	30%	52%	20%	20%	33%	24%	4%	15%	2%
Over 60	40%	20%	0%	20%	60%	60%	0%	20%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Male	20%	7%	0%	20%	33%	73%	7%	33%	53%	47%	7%	7%	7%
Female	14%	8%	14%	6%	33%	44%	22%	14%	22%	14%	3%	17%	0%
Adult	16%	8%	10%	10%	34%	54%	18%	20%	32%	24%	4%	12%	2%
Child	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Overall	19%	8%	16%	7%	24%	46%	15%	12%	16%	18%	1%	15%	1%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Bamyan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Bamyan province a total of 13 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	74
Average number of families per HH:	1.14
Average HH size:	6.16

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	21%
Physically disabled member	28%
Child headed (under 18 years)	7%
Female headed	10%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	35%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



24% High 61% Medium 15% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



36% Poor 31% Borderline 32% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



39% Severely food insecure 30% Moderately food insecure 30%

Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	95%	26%	11%	58%	11%	21%	5%	47%	21%	11%	74%	0%	0%	11%
Over 60	90%	0%	0%	50%	0%	10%	10%	20%	0%	10%	70%	0%	0%	0%
Male	96%	15%	8%	58%	8%	19%	4%	39%	15%	8%	77%	0%	0%	8%
Female	67%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	33%	33%	0%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	93%	11%	7%	52%	7%	19%	7%	37%	15%	11%	70%	0%	0%	7%
Child	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	93%	11%	8%	43%	4%	11%	11%	18%	14%	19%	76%	4%	0%	7%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	4%	8%	4%	0%	8%	1%	0%	7%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Less than half	77%	7%	0%	39%	4%	3%	4%	12%	7%	18%	45%	3%	0%
More than half	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	1%	28%	0%	0%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	7%	89%	92%	57%	96%	89%	89%	82%	86%	81%	24%	96%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	21%	0%	11%	0%	16%	5%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	68%	0%
Over 60	30%	0%	40%	0%	20%	20%	10%	0%	30%	10%	0%	30%	0%
Male	23%	0%	23%	0%	19%	12%	8%	4%	12%	8%	0%	54%	0%
Female	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%
Adult	26%	0%	22%	0%	19%	11%	7%	4%	11%	7%	0%	52%	0%
Child	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Overall	26%	0%	18%	0%	14%	11%	7%	9%	3%	5%	1%	45%	5%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Daykundi Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Daykundi province a total of 4 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	40
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	5.65

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	33%
Physically disabled member	0%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	67%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	0%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



7% High 68% Medium

25% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



3% Poor57% Borderline40% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:

- 0% Severely food insecure
- 53% Moderately food insecure
- 53% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
, i	Under 60	100%	50%	44%	94%	33%	67%	0%	83%	22%	50%	44%	0%	0%	0%
	Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
t	Male	100%	67%	33%	83%	17%	100%	0%	100%	17%	50%	33%	0%	0%	0%
	Female	100%	42%	50%	100%	42%	50%	0%	75%	25%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	100%	50%	44%	94%	33%	67%	0%	83%	22%	50%	44%	1%	0%	0%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	100%	65%	53%	90%	30%	75%	0%	88%	40%	55%	63%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Less than half	50%	40%	28%	73%	20%	50%	0%	58%	18%	45%	33%	0%	0%
More than half	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Almost all	25%	25%	25%	18%	10%	23%	0%	25%	20%	10%	23%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	0%	35%	48%	10%	70%	25%	100%	13%	60%	45%	38%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	83%	0%
Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	17%	17%	0%	67%	0%
Female	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	92%	0%
Adult	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	83%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	41%	3%	0%	85%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Farah Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Farah province a total of 25 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	223
Average number of families per HH:	1.21
Average HH size:	6.12

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	4%
Physically disabled member	9%
Child headed (under 18 years)	4%
Female headed	75%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	12%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



0% High Medium 56% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



42% Poor 56% Borderline 2% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



26% Severely food insecure 34% Moderately food insecure 34% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	99%	0%	0%	15%	6%	83%	0%	31%	18%	4%	91%	1%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	6%	0%	13%	6%	81%	6%	56%	19%	0%	94%	0%	6%	0%
Male	100%	3%	0%	9%	3%	81%	3%	47%	16%	3%	94%	0%	3%	0%
Female	99%	0%	0%	16%	7%	84%	0%	30%	19%	4%	91%	1%	0%	0%
Adult	99%	1%	0%	15%	6%	82%	1%	35%	18%	2%	92%	0%	1%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	20%	0%	100%	0%	0%	20%	40%	80%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	99%	0%	0%	17%	5%	87%	1%	28%	18%	5%	93%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	57%	0%	8%	13%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	33%	0%	0%	7%	3%	29%	0%	18%	6%	4%	55%	0%	0%
More than half	65%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	38%	0%	0%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	1%	100%	100%	83%	95%	13%	99%	72%	82%	95%	7%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	91%	0%	4%	0%	72%	72%	1%	0%	41%	28%	16%	1%	0%
Over 60	63%	6%	13%	0%	50%	50%	13%	0%	44%	19%	25%	0%	0%
Male	81%	3%	6%	0%	63%	69%	6%	0%	38%	16%	13%	0%	0%
Female	90%	0%	5%	0%	71%	69%	1%	0%	42%	31%	19%	1%	0%
Adult	87%	1%	6%	0%	69%	69%	2%	0%	40%	26%	16%	1%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	80%	80%	0%	0%	60%	60%	40%	0%	0%
Overall	90%	1%	4%	2%	70%	74%	2%	0%	34%	28%	20%	0%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Faryab Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Faryab province a total of 60 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	379
Average number of families per HH:	1.13
Average HH size:	6.41

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	15%
Physically disabled member	13%
Child headed (under 18 years)	3%
Female headed	43%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	36%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



1%High51%Medium47%Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



88% Poor11% Borderline1% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



44% Severely food insecure53% Moderately food insecure53% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	93%	2%	0%	7%	2%	22%	2%	12%	0%	7%	8%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	0%	0%	3%	0%	12%	0%	6%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Male	96%	0%	0%	6%	2%	15%	0%	9%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Female	95%	3%	0%	5%	0%	23%	3%	10%	0%	10%	8%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	96%	1%	0%	4%	1%	18%	1%	9%	1%	4%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	96%	1%	0%	3%	1%	27%	1%	8%	2%	2%	6%	0%	1%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	58%	1%	0%	3%	1%	23%	1%	6%	2%	2%	4%	0%	1%
More than half	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Almost all	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	4%	99%	100%	97%	99%	73%	99%	92%	98%	98%	94%	100%	99%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	47%	62%	2%	3%	17%	27%	5%	13%	43%	43%	13%	3%	0%
Over 60	33%	58%	24%	3%	9%	9%	6%	12%	27%	39%	18%	3%	0%
Male	45%	62%	15%	2%	6%	13%	6%	13%	25%	30%	11%	4%	0%
Female	38%	58%	3%	5%	25%	30%	5%	13%	55%	58%	20%	3%	0%
Adult	43%	59%	10%	3%	14%	20%	6%	12%	37%	43%	16%	3%	0%
Child	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	56%	50%	3%	2%	6%	14%	6%	8%	8%	30%	8%	4%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Ghazni Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Ghazni province a total of 9 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	64
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	6.45

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	39%
Physically disabled member	32%
Child headed (under 18 years)	4%
Female headed	45%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	20%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 50%
 High

 48%
 Medium

2% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



50% Poor50% Borderline0% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



76% Severely food insecure22% Moderately food insecure22% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	98%	11%	0%	31%	22%	38%	11%	58%	18%	56%	78%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	18%	0%	64%	64%	55%	0%	9%	18%	82%	64%	0%	0%	0%
Male	100%	13%	0%	32%	45%	39%	0%	45%	19%	65%	71%	0%	0%	0%
Female	96%	12%	0%	44%	12%	44%	20%	52%	16%	56%	80%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	98%	13%	0%	37%	30%	43%	9%	48%	19%	63%	76%	0%	0%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%]'50%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	98%	13%	0%	38%	33%	41%	8%	45%	20%	59%	73%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	2%	13%	0%	25%	22%	13%	0%	9%	14%	5%	5%	0%	0%
Less than half	11%	0%	0%	13%	11%	23%	8%	30%	6%	55%	66%	0%	0%
More than half	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	6%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Almost all	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	2%	88%	100%	63%	67%	59%	92%	55%	80%	41%	27%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	47%	11%	9%	4%	47%	53%	2%	0%	4%	20%	4%	4%	16%
Over 60	55%	9%	0%	9%	27%	45%	0%	0%	18%	18%	0%	9%	9%
Male	39%	10%	0%	3%	48%	45%	3%	0%	3%	10%	3%	10%	19%
Female	60%	12%	16%	8%	36%	60%	0%	0%	12%	32%	4%	0%	8%
Adult	50%	11%	7%	6%	43%	54%	0%	0%	7%	19%	4%	6%	15%
Child	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	47%	11%	6%	5%	38%	52%	2%	0%	7%	19%	5%	8%	13%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Ghor Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Ghor province a total of 34 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	340
Average number of families per HH:	1.08
Average HH size:	5.82

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	51%
Physically disabled member	9%
Child headed (under 18 years)	1%
Female headed	27%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	14%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



3% High48% Medium49% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



1% Poor14% Borderline85% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



1% Severely food insecure10% Moderately food insecure10% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

5 †			Kitchen	Hygiene				Shelter		Transpor-		Paying				
)		Food	items	items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	repair	Health	tation	Utilities	debts	Education	Saved	Other	
; i	Under 60	97%	17%	38%	46%	21%	23%	4%	73%	15%	22%	56%	0%	2%	1%	
	Over 60	60%	21%	47%	42%	11%	26%	0%	63%	16%	21%	37%	0%	0%	0%	
t	Male	97%	17%	36%	51%	20%	23%	4%	72%	17%	24%	56%	0%	1%	0%	
	Female	97%	21%	47%	32%	18%	26%	3%	71%	11%	18%	47%	0%	3%	3%	
	Adult	97%	18%	39%	45%	20%	24%	4%	71%	15%	22%	54%	3%	1%	1%	
	Child	100%	0%	50%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Overall	99%	18%	41%	46%	25%	29%	3%	65%	16%	19%	49%	1%	1%	0%	

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	56%	2%	4%	6%	3%	1%	0%	5%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Less than half	23%	15%	35%	35%	16%	19%	3%	43%	10%	11%	33%	0%	1%
More than half	19%	1%	3%	5%	5%	9%	0%	17%	4%	7%	15%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	1%	82%	59%	54%	75%	71%	97%	35%	84%	81%	51%	99%	99%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	6%	3%	5%	1%	17%	19%	11%	4%	7%	25%	2%	17%	1%
Over 60	5%	0%	0%	0%	26%	5%	0%	11%	11%	37%	0%	5%	0%
Male	7%	2%	4%	0%	18%	19%	11%	5%	7%	23%	2%	20%	1%
Female	3%	5%	5%	3%	18%	13%	5%	5%	11%	37%	0%	5%	0%
Adult	4%	3%	4%	1%	18%	17%	9%	4%	7%	26%	1%	16%	1%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	9%	2%	4%	1%	19%	12%	7%	7%	13%	23%	1%	14%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid





Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Hilmand Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Hilmand province a total of 11 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	193
Average number of families per HH:	1.03
Average HH size:	7.12

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	2%	
Physically disabled member	3%	
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%	
Female headed	88%	
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	7%	

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



4% High72% Medium

24% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



2% Poor37% Borderline61% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



2% Severely food insecure34% Moderately food insecure34% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
ti	Under 60	71%	25%	25%	43%	11%	81%	5%	71%	29%	11%	33%	3%	0%	0%
	Over 60	96%	0%	0%	40%	0%	60%	0%	80%	60%	20%	80%	0%	0%	0%
t	Male	75%	0%	0%	50%	0%	63%	0%	75%	50%	13%	63%	13%	0%	0%
	Female	70%	27%	27%	42%	12%	82%	5%	72%	28%	12%	33%	2%	0%	0%
	Adult	71%	24%	24%	43%	10%	79%	4%	72%	31%	12%	70%	1%	0%	0%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	85%	26%	16%	38%	13%	62%	4%	79%	51%	13%	54%	9%	0%	2%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	2%	1%	6%	20%	2%	2%	3%	0%
Less than half	45%	25%	16%	30%	12%	55%	3%	69%	31%	11%	48%	6%	0%
More than half	39%	1%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	15%	74%	84%	62%	87%	38%	96%	21%	49%	87%	46%	91%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	33%	30%	21%	27%	46%	24%	40%	16%	17%	27%	8%	3%	0%
Over 60	40%	40%	0%	60%	60%	0%	0%	20%	20%	40%	40%	0%	0%
Male	25%	38%	0%	50%	38%	0%	13%	13%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%
Female	35%	30%	22%	27%	48%	25%	40%	17%	17%	28%	8%	0%	0%
Adult	34%	31%	19%	29%	47%	22%	37%	16%	18%	28%	10%	3%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	22%	18%	28%	34%	36%	17%	26%	19%	51%	39%	7%	6%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Hirat Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Hirat province a total of 46 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	380
Average number of families per HH:	1.08
Average HH size:	6.04

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	38%
Physically disabled member	15%
Child headed (under 18 years)	6%
Female headed	49%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	12%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 32%
 High

 36%
 Medium

32% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



59% Poor35% Borderline6% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



51% Severely food insecure34% Moderately food insecure34% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	96%	22%	4%	28%	10%	75%	3%	70%	34%	40%	71%	1%	3%	7%
Over 60	100%	24%	4%	16%	16%	80%	0%	64%	28%	36%	60%	0%	8%	4%
Male	94%	24%	3%	24%	12%	74%	3%	71%	33%	49%	71%	1%	2%	8%
Female	98%	20%	5%	29%	9%	77%	2%	67%	34%	31%	68%	0%	6%	6%
Adult	96%	23%	4%	27%	11%	75%	3%	71%	35%	42%	39%	0%	4%	8%
Child	100%	8%	0%	23%	8%	85%	0%	38%	15%	15%	62%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	97%	27%	3%	29%	11%	78%	2%	71%	40%	46%	65%	1%	4%	8%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	9%	17%	3%	16%	8%	42%	1%	28%	23%	31%	9%	1%	1%
Less than half	48%	9%	1%	11%	3%	29%	0%	33%	17%	15%	40%	0%	4%
More than half	39%	0%	0%	2%	0%	8%	2%	8%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	3%	73%	97%	71%	89%	22%	98%	29%	60%	54%	35%	99%	96%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	26%	9%	1%	3%	57%	42%	16%	6%	54%	30%	1%	6%	7%
Over 60	40%	8%	0%	0%	32%	48%	16%	12%	64%	28%	4%	0%	20%
Male	25%	6%	0%	1%	63%	51%	16%	7%	58%	34%	2%	1%	10%
Female	30%	11%	1%	4%	44%	34%	17%	7%	53%	26%	0%	11%	8%
Adult	26%	7%	1%	3%	54%	45%	18%	8%	56%	31%	1%	6%	9%
Child	46%	31%	0%	0%	54%	15%	0%	0%	46%	23%	0%	0%	15%
Overall	24%	7%	1%	2%	42%	43%	13%	5%	23%	28%	1%	12%	8%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Jawzjan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Jawzjan province a total of 10 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	164
Average number of families per HH:	1.02
Average HH size:	5.79

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	0%	
Physically disabled member	3%	
Child headed (under 18 years)	3%	
Female headed	81%	
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	14%	

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



26% High Medium

2% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



18% Po 62% Bo 20% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



32% Severely food insecure 49% Moderately food insecure 49% Food secure

oor	
orderline	Under

Use and Outo	comes of Mul	ti Purpose	Cash	Assistance
--------------	--------------	------------	------	------------

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	94%	22%	0%	22%	13%	28%	0%	84%	0%	9%	38%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	20%	0%	0%	20%	20%	0%	80%	0%	40%	40%	0%	0%	0%
Male	100%	29%	0%	0%	29%	29%	0%	71%	0%	29%	29%	0%	0%	0%
Female	93%	20%	0%	23%	10%	27%	0%	87%	0%	10%	40%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	94%	19%	0%	19%	11%	28%	0%	86%	0%	14%	58%	2%	0%	0%
Child	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	90%	13%	1%	20%	11%	43%	1%	80%	4%	21%	37%	0%	0%	1%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	76%	12%	1%	18%	9%	41%	0%	35%	3%	13%	21%	0%	0%
More than half	13%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	43%	0%	7%	15%	0%	0%
Almost all	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	10%	87%	99%	80%	89%	57%	99%	20%	96%	79%	63%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	19%	6%	44%	3%	13%	34%	6%	3%	34%	41%	3%	0%	0%
Over 60	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%
Male	0%	14%	86%	0%	0%	14%	14%	0%	0%	43%	0%	0%	0%
Female	20%	3%	43%	3%	13%	33%	7%	3%	37%	40%	3%	0%	0%
Adult	17%	6%	50%	3%	11%	31%	8%	3%	31%	42%	3%	0%	0%
Child	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	26%	9%	41%	5%	18%	39%	10%	4%	9%	34%	4%	1%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Kabul Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Kabul province a total of 32 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	198
Average number of families per HH:	1.05
Average HH size:	7.03

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	45%
Physically disabled member	34%
Child headed (under 18 years)	7%
Female headed	28%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	22%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



57% High Medium

8% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



Poor 51% Borderline 9% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



67% Severely food insecure 26% Moderately food insecure 26%

Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	97%	18%	2%	41%	20%	67%	2%	67%	17%	44%	61%	3%	0%	4%
Over 60	100%	17%	7%	67%	30%	63%	0%	60%	20%	17%	50%	3%	0%	0%
Male	99%	21%	3%	50%	28%	62%	2%	65%	16%	33%	55%	2%	0%	3%
Female	95%	10%	3%	38%	8%	77%	0%	67%	21%	51%	69%	5%	0%	3%
Adult	98%	17%	3%	45%	23%	66%	2%	67%	18%	39%	16%	0%	0%	3%
Child	100%	33%	0%	67%	11%	67%	0%	44%	11%	22%	78%	11%	0%	0%
Overall	98%	17%	4%	46%	26%	65%	3%	57%	16%	37%	63%	2%	1%	3%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	5%	11%	2%	32%	15%	33%	1%	9%	14%	1%	5%	2%	0%
Less than half	27%	7%	2%	14%	11%	31%	2%	38%	2%	34%	47%	0%	1%
More than half	65%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	9%	0%	2%	10%	0%	0%
Almost all	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	2%	83%	96%	54%	74%	35%	97%	43%	84%	63%	37%	98%	99%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	47%	28%	4%	11%	45%	55%	5%	2%	7%	11%	4%	2%	17%
Over 60	20%	17%	17%	13%	27%	60%	10%	3%	17%	17%	7%	3%	0%
Male	36%	24%	8%	8%	45%	61%	7%	3%	10%	11%	5%	1%	13%
Female	54%	28%	3%	21%	31%	44%	3%	0%	8%	15%	3%	5%	13%
Adult	43%	26%	7%	8%	40%	56%	6%	2%	10%	12%	5%	2%	12%
Child	11%	11%	0%	56%	56%	56%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	22%
Overall	41%	26%	8%	10%	33%	56%	6%	2%	50%	12%	4%	4%	10%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Kandahar Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Kandahar province a total of 16 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	143
Average number of families per HH:	1.05
Average HH size:	7.29

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	15%
Physically disabled member	20%
Child headed (under 18 years)	5%
Female headed	40%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	30%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



0% High 15% Medium

85% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



Poor 79% 19% Borderline 2% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



13% Severely food insecure Moderately food insecure 67% 67%

Food secure

Use	and	Outcomes	of	Multi	Purpose	Cash	Assistance
-----	-----	-----------------	----	-------	---------	------	------------

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	100%	7%	0%	36%	0%	36%	0%	93%	0%	50%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	33%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	100%	25%	0%	42%	0%	17%	0%	83%	0%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%
Female	100%	0%	0%	50%	0%	38%	0%	88%	0%	63%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	100%	16%	0%	42%	0%	21%	0%	84%	0%	42%	81%	0%	0%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	99%	8%	2%	50%	6%	55%	0%	75%	10%	34%	8%	1%	0%	1%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Less than half	27%	8%	2%	48%	6%	55%	0%	69%	10%	34%	6%	1%	0%
More than half	73%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	1%	92%	98%	50%	94%	45%	100%	25%	90%	66%	92%	99%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	64%	7%	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	36%	0%
Over 60	100%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	83%	0%	0%	0%	42%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	17%	0%
Female	63%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	38%	0%
Adult	74%	5%	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	0%	32%	0%	0%	26%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	78%	13%	0%	0%	16%	0%	0%	0%	21%	1%	1%	22%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid





Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Kapisa Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Kapisa province a total of 6 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	48
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	6.4

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	46%
Physically disabled member	18%
Child headed (under 18 years)	3%
Female headed	33%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	21%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 58%
 High

 38%
 Medium

4% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



42% Poor48% Borderline10% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



60%	Severely food insecure
31%	Moderately food insecure
31%	Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	96%	35%	0%	58%	46%	19%	0%	54%	19%	46%	85%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	29%	0%	100%	29%	29%	0%	43%	29%	14%	57%	0%	0%	0%
Male	96%	27%	0%	59%	36%	27%	0%	59%	23%	27%	73%	0%	0%	0%
Female	100%	45%	0%	82%	55%	9%	0%	36%	18%	64%	91%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	97%	31%	0%	66%	44%	22%	0%	53%	22%	38%	58%	4%	0%	0%
Child	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	98%	35%	0%	69%	35%	19%	0%	46%	17%	33%	81%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	10%	23%	0%	44%	10%	6%	0%	2%	4%	2%	2%	0%	0%
Less than half	25%	13%	0%	25%	25%	13%	0%	42%	13%	31%	65%	0%	0%
More than half	63%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	2%	65%	100%	31%	65%	81%	100%	54%	83%	67%	19%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	38%	4%	4%	8%	46%	54%	0%	0%	8%	23%	0%	4%	19%
Over 60	57%	14%	14%	14%	29%	14%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	29%
Male	27%	5%	9%	5%	36%	50%	0%	0%	9%	32%	0%	5%	23%
Female	73%	9%	0%	18%	55%	36%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	18%
Adult	44%	6%	3%	9%	44%	47%	0%	0%	6%	22%	0%	3%	22%
Child	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	42%	4%	6%	6%	38%	42%	6%	4%	0%	31%	0%	4%	15%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Khost Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Khost province a total of 24 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	101
Average number of families per HH:	1.06
Average HH size:	7.26

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	21%
Physically disabled member	33%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	46%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	0%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



0% High3% Medium97% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



0% Severely food insecure1% Moderately food insecure1% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	96%	50%	4%	83%	29%	50%	8%	71%	71%	33%	58%	4%	67%	0%
Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	92%	54%	8%	69%	31%	54%	8%	62%	85%	38%	77%	0%	69%	0%
Female	100%	45%	0%	100%	27%	45%	9%	82%	55%	27%	36%	9%	64%	0%
Adult	96%	50%	4%	83%	29%	50%	8%	71%	71%	33%	63%	3%	67%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	96%	52%	8%	70%	49%	46%	3%	49%	75%	36%	51%	4%	60%	2%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	86%	52%	8%	67%	48%	46%	3%	44%	75%	36%	50%	4%	59%
More than half	9%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	4%	48%	92%	30%	51%	54%	97%	51%	25%	64%	49%	96%	40%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	0%	4%	4%	4%	4%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	63%	4%
Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	0%	0%	0%	8%	8%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	77%	0%
Female	0%	9%	9%	0%	0%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	45%	9%
Adult	0%	4%	4%	4%	4%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	63%	4%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	0%	1%	11%	3%	3%	12%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	67%	3%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Kunar Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Kunar province a total of 31 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	290
Average number of families per HH:	1.32
Average HH size:	7.73

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	3%
Physically disabled member	14%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	8%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	76%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



28% High54% Medium

18% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



8% Poor25% Borderline67% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



17% Severely food insecure31% Moderately food insecure31% Food secure

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

nt s)		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Iti	Under 60	64%	14%	0%	57%	36%	29%	0%	57%	25%	11%	25%	0%	39%	0%
	Over 60	93%	19%	0%	84%	7%	50%	1%	92%	43%	2%	75%	3%	17%	5%
ut	Male	88%	19%	0%	77%	13%	47%	1%	86%	37%	4%	65%	3%	21%	4%
	Female	67%	11%	0%	89%	22%	22%	0%	56%	56%	11%	33%	0%	33%	0%
	Adult	86%	18%	0%	78%	14%	45%	1%	84%	39%	4%	21%	1%	22%	3%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	66%	41%	3%	80%	23%	42%	3%	82%	61%	11%	54%	3%	14%	3%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	6%	7%	1%	1%	0%
Less than half	55%	40%	2%	78%	20%	42%	2%	80%	55%	3%	51%	2%	13%
More than half	11%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	34%	59%	97%	20%	77%	58%	97%	18%	39%	89%	46%	97%	86%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	4%	0%	29%	7%	11%	7%	0%	4%	7%	7%	0%	46%	4%
Over 60	0%	0%	93%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	15%
Male	0%	0%	79%	0%	6%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	14%	13%
Female	11%	0%	67%	22%	0%	11%	0%	0%	11%	11%	0%	22%	0%
Adult	1%	0%	78%	2%	5%	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	15%	12%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	0%	0%	82%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	23%	1%	0%	14%	10%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Kunduz Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Kunduz province a total of 45 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	515
Average number of families per HH:	1.64
Average HH size:	6.9

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	41%
Physically disabled member	22%
Child headed (under 18 years)	3%
Female headed	30%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	23%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



5% High 41% Medium

54% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



24% Poor48% Borderline28% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



17% Severely food insecure33% Moderately food insecure33% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	84%	7%	1%	16%	4%	61%	8%	61%	14%	19%	24%	1%	3%	2%
Over 60	87%	6%	2%	19%	9%	50%	9%	35%	4%	6%	11%	0%	0%	2%
Male	84%	6%	1%	15%	5%	53%	10%	50%	4%	7%	16%	1%	0%	2%
Female	86%	7%	1%	21%	4%	70%	6%	66%	30%	37%	32%	1%	7%	1%
Adult	85%	7%	1%	17%	5%	58%	9%	54%	12%	17%	45%	0%	2%	1%
Child	71%	0%	0%	14%	0%	71%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	14%
Overall	87%	9%	2%	17%	7%	62%	7%	55%	17%	21%	25%	1%	3%	2%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	37%	7%	1%	15%	4%	34%	1%	35%	17%	17%	11%	1%	3%
More than half	50%	2%	1%	3%	3%	28%	5%	19%	0%	4%	14%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	13%	91%	98%	83%	93%	38%	93%	45%	83%	79%	75%	99%	97%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	13%	17%	26%	4%	30%	51%	3%	8%	22%	7%	1%	12%	3%
Over 60	15%	24%	30%	6%	37%	33%	7%	13%	15%	6%	2%	7%	4%
Male	14%	20%	32%	4%	34%	53%	5%	7%	16%	4%	1%	8%	2%
Female	13%	15%	15%	4%	27%	32%	3%	14%	32%	13%	3%	17%	6%
Adult	13%	19%	27%	3%	31%	46%	4%	9%	20%	7%	1%	11%	3%
Child	14%	14%	43%	43%	43%	86%	0%	14%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	17%	19%	20%	5%	30%	42%	4%	9%	48%	11%	1%	13%	3%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Laghman Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Laghman province a total of 17 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	102
Average number of families per HH:	1.09
Average HH size:	6.25

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	27%
Physically disabled member	23%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	45%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	20%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



52% High **43%** Medium

5% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



4% Poor61% Borderline35% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



38%	Severely food insecure
38%	Moderately food insecure
38%	Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t)		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
; ti	Under 60	100%	31%	4%	49%	7%	71%	0%	67%	0%	0%	47%	0%	0%	0%
	Over 60	100%	18%	9%	55%	9%	45%	0%	82%	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	9%
k	Male	100%	32%	3%	45%	10%	74%	0%	74%	0%	0%	45%	0%	0%	3%
	Female	100%	24%	8%	56%	4%	56%	0%	64%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	100%	29%	5%	50%	7%	66%	0%	70%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	2%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	99%	30%	4%	37%	9%	72%	3%	63%	0%	0%	44%	1%	1%	3%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Less than half	30%	27%	3%	34%	8%	68%	0%	53%	0%	0%	36%	1%	0%
More than half	67%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	10%	0%	0%	7%	0%	1%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	1%	70%	96%	63%	91%	28%	97%	37%	100%	100%	56%	99%	99%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	69%	38%	4%	18%	62%	31%	38%	22%	47%	42%	2%	0%	7%
Over 60	82%	9%	0%	45%	55%	27%	27%	18%	36%	18%	9%	9%	18%
Male	61%	35%	3%	26%	71%	23%	39%	29%	29%	32%	6%	3%	13%
Female	84%	28%	4%	20%	48%	40%	32%	12%	64%	44%	0%	0%	4%
Adult	71%	32%	4%	23%	61%	30%	36%	21%	45%	38%	4%	2%	9%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	63%	35%	9%	18%	51%	29%	41%	22%	11%	36%	2%	1%	8%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Logar Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Logar province a total of 5 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	45
Average number of families per HH:	1.04
Average HH size:	6.67

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	0%	
Physically disabled member	0%	
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%	
Female headed	56%	
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	44%	

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



53% High40% Medium7% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



20% Poor38% Borderline42% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



40% Severely food insecure38% Moderately food insecure38% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t)		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
i	Under 60	100%	0%	0%	10%	10%	40%	0%	50%	10%	30%	60%	0%	0%	10%
	Over 60	100%	0%	0%	13%	13%	25%	13%	50%	0%	13%	75%	0%	0%	0%
t	Male	100%	0%	0%	13%	13%	25%	13%	50%	0%	13%	75%	0%	0%	0%
	Female	100%	0%	0%	10%	10%	40%	0%	50%	10%	30%	60%	0%	0%	10%
	Adult	100%	0%	0%	11%	11%	33%	6%	50%	6%	22%	37%	1%	0%	6%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	100%	2%	2%	22%	13%	33%	2%	31%	13%	51%	62%	0%	0%	2%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	31%	2%	2%	22%	13%	33%	0%	31%	13%	49%	51%	0%	0%
More than half	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	11%	0%	0%
Almost all	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	0%	98%	98%	78%	87%	67%	98%	69%	87%	49%	38%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	80%	0%	10%	10%	50%	50%	10%	20%	10%	30%	0%	0%	20%
Over 60	88%	13%	0%	0%	50%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%
Male	88%	13%	0%	0%	50%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%
Female	80%	0%	10%	10%	50%	50%	10%	20%	10%	30%	0%	0%	20%
Adult	83%	6%	6%	6%	50%	33%	6%	11%	6%	17%	0%	0%	44%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	80%	9%	9%	2%	36%	42%	7%	13%	1%	42%	2%	2%	29%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Nangarhar Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Nangarhar province a total of 38 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	417
Average number of families per HH:	1.55
Average HH size:	7.53

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	43%
Physically disabled member	22%
Child headed (under 18 years)	1%
Female headed	22%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	17%

Food Security


Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



0% High 15% Medium 84% Low or none

> Poor Borderline

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



Severely food insecure Moderately food insecure 3%

3% Food secure



Funded by European Union Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
ti	Under 60	74%	19%	5%	51%	23%	15%	1%	75%	7%	2%	35%	1%	2%	2%
	Over 60	76%	24%	5%	33%	29%	33%	0%	76%	5%	10%	43%	0%	5%	0%
d	Male	77%	18%	2%	44%	22%	18%	1%	76%	8%	3%	37%	0%	3%	2%
	Female	65%	27%	15%	62%	31%	19%	0%	73%	0%	4%	35%	4%	0%	0%
	Adult	74%	20%	5%	48%	24%	18%	1%	75%	7%	3%	75%	0%	3%	2%
	Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	71%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Overall	71%	16%	6%	49%	29%	16%	3%	70%	9%	5%	28%	2%	5%	1%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	49%	14%	6%	47%	28%	13%	2%	52%	8%	5%	17%	2%	4%
More than half	15%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	16%	1%	0%	9%	0%	1%
Almost all	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	29%	84%	94%	51%	71%	84%	97%	30%	91%	95%	72%	98%	95%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	1%	2%	1%	2%	11%	5%	0%	2%	2%	4%	0%	73%	0%
Over 60	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	81%	0%
Male	2%	1%	1%	1%	13%	5%	0%	1%	2%	3%	0%	72%	0%
Female	0%	4%	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	8%	0%	4%	0%	85%	0%
Adult	2%	2%	1%	2%	10%	4%	0%	3%	2%	3%	0%	75%	0%
Child	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	1%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%	4%	9%	0%	2%	0%	71%	0%



Low proportion



Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Nimroz Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Nimroz province a total of 4 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	31
Average number of families per HH:	1.03
Average HH size:	6.35

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	0%
Physically disabled member	13%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	88%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	0%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



10% High61% Medium

29% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



10% Poor58% Borderline32% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



16% Severely food insecure45% Moderately food insecure45% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	100%	75%	75%	100%	88%	13%	0%	100%	63%	88%	75%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	100%	0%	0%	100%	10%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	100%	86%	86%	100%	86%	14%	0%	100%	71%	100%	86%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	100%	75%	75%	100%	88%	13%	0%	100%	63%	88%	63%	11%	0%	0%
Child	N/A	75%	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	100%	90%	87%	87%	77%	39%	0%	90%	77%	84%	81%	3%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	3%	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	13%	23%	23%	19%	10%	10%	0%	32%	6%	23%	19%	0%	0%
More than half	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Almost all	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	29%	0%	55%	65%	61%	61%	3%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	0%	10%	13%	13%	23%	61%	100%	10%	23%	16%	19%	97%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Female	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Adult	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	28%	0%	0%	84%	13%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Paktika Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Paktika province a total of 11 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	71
Average number of families per HH:	1.04
Average HH size:	7.06

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	4%
Physically disabled member	7%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	22%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	70%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 21%
 High

 49%
 Medium

30% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



24% Poor51% Borderline25% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



30% Severely food insecure38% Moderately food insecure38% Food secure

lleo and	Outcomes	of Multi	Durnoso	Cach	Assistance
USE allu	UULUUIIIES		Fullose	6 4 311	ASSISIAIIUU

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

Food items Clothes Blankets Rent repair Health tation Utilities debts Education Saved Under 60 88% 25% 50% 25% 25% 50% 13% 25% 38% 38% 63% 13% 25% Over 60 100% 21% 21% 16% 42% 58% 16% 37% 21% 26% 63% 11% 11% Male 100% 29% 29% 19% 43% 62% 19% 38% 29% 29% 62% 14% 19% Female 83% 0% 33% 17% 17% 33% 0% 17% 17% 33% 67% 0% 0% Adult 96% 22% 30% 19% 37% 56% 15% 33% 26% 30% 67% 3% 15% Child N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A			Kitchen	Hygiene				Shelter		Transpor-		Paying			
Over 60 100% 21% 21% 16% 42% 58% 16% 37% 21% 26% 63% 11% 11% Male 100% 29% 29% 19% 43% 62% 19% 38% 29% 29% 62% 14% 19% Female 83% 0% 33% 17% 17% 33% 0% 17% 17% 33% 67% 0% 0% Adult 96% 22% 30% 19% 37% 56% 15% 33% 26% 30% 67% 0% 0% Child N/A N/A <th></th> <th>Food</th> <th></th> <th>, 0</th> <th>Clothes</th> <th>Blankets</th> <th>Rent</th> <th></th> <th>Health</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Education</th> <th>Saved</th> <th>Other</th>		Food		, 0	Clothes	Blankets	Rent		Health				Education	Saved	Other
Male 100% 29% 29% 19% 43% 62% 19% 38% 29% 29% 62% 14% 19% Female 83% 0% 33% 17% 17% 33% 0% 17% 17% 33% 67% 0% 0% Adult 96% 22% 30% 19% 37% 56% 15% 33% 26% 30% 67% 3% 15% Child N/A	Under 60	88%	25%	50%	25%	25%	50%	13%	25%	38%	38%	63%	13%	25%	0%
Female 83% 0% 33% 17% 17% 33% 0% 17% 17% 33% 67% 0% 0% Adult 96% 22% 30% 19% 37% 56% 15% 33% 26% 30% 67% 3% 15% Child N/A	Over 60	100%	21%	21%	16%	42%	58%	16%	37%	21%	26%	63%	11%	11%	0%
Adult 96% 22% 30% 19% 37% 56% 15% 33% 26% 30% 67% 3% 15% Child N/A N/A <th>Male</th> <th>100%</th> <th>29%</th> <th>29%</th> <th>19%</th> <th>43%</th> <th>62%</th> <th>19%</th> <th>38%</th> <th>29%</th> <th>29%</th> <th>62%</th> <th>14%</th> <th>19%</th> <th>0%</th>	Male	100%	29%	29%	19%	43%	62%	19%	38%	29%	29%	62%	14%	19%	0%
Child N/A	Female	83%	0%	33%	17%	17%	33%	0%	17%	17%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	96%	22%	30%	19%	37%	56%	15%	33%	26%	30%	67%	3%	15%	0%
Overall 99% 20% 31% 25% 32% 52% 10% 24% 24% 25% 58% 7% 10%	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	99%	20%	31%	25%	32%	52%	10%	24%	24%	25%	58%	7%	10%	3%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Less than half	66%	20%	31%	24%	31%	52%	7%	23%	24%	25%	54%	6%	8%
More than half	32%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	1%	80%	69%	75%	68%	48%	90%	76%	76%	75%	42%	93%	90%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	0%	25%	38%	25%	13%	50%	38%	25%	38%	38%	0%	13%	0%
Over 60	11%	21%	32%	11%	16%	47%	37%	16%	26%	37%	5%	11%	0%
Male	10%	19%	33%	14%	14%	43%	33%	14%	29%	38%	5%	14%	0%
Female	0%	33%	33%	17%	17%	67%	50%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	7%	22%	33%	15%	15%	48%	37%	19%	30%	37%	4%	11%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	8%	32%	34%	28%	28%	39%	28%	15%	2%	28%	4%	7%	3%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Paktya Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Paktya province a total of 4 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	56
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	6.2

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	0%	
Physically disabled member	3%	
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%	
Female headed	28%	
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	69%	

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 54%
 High

 32%
 Medium

14% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



2% Poor34% Borderline64% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



25% Severely food insecure39% Moderately food insecure39% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
ti	Under 60	82%	0%	0%	0%	18%	55%	0%	64%	0%	0%	55%	0%	0%	0%
	Over 60	72%	0%	0%	4%	0%	80%	0%	60%	0%	8%	72%	4%	0%	0%
t	Male	73%	0%	0%	4%	0%	81%	0%	62%	0%	8%	69%	4%	0%	0%
	Female	80%	0%	0%	0%	20%	50%	0%	60%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	75%	0%	0%	3%	6%	72%	0%	61%	0%	6%	82%	0%	0%	0%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	84%	4%	2%	4%	7%	57%	0%	55%	0%	4%	71%	9%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	23%	4%	2%	4%	7%	57%	0%	32%	0%	4%	66%	9%	0%
More than half	59%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Almost all	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	16%	96%	98%	96%	93%	43%	100%	45%	100%	96%	29%	91%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	64%	0%	0%	9%	45%	36%	9%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	36%
Over 60	80%	12%	0%	0%	56%	28%	0%	0%	4%	28%	0%	0%	68%
Male	81%	12%	0%	0%	58%	27%	0%	0%	4%	27%	0%	0%	65%
Female	60%	0%	0%	10%	40%	40%	10%	10%	0%	10%	0%	0%	40%
Adult	75%	8%	0%	3%	53%	31%	3%	3%	3%	22%	0%	0%	58%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	55%	5%	0%	9%	45%	50%	13%	9%	21%	30%	0%	0%	38%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Panjsher Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Panjsher province a total of 3 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	19
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	5.95

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	36%
Physically disabled member	27%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	27%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	18%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



68% High Medium 32%

0% 10

Proportion of HHs per food con



Poor 47% 53% Borderline 0% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



74% Severely food insecure Moderately food insecure 26% 26% Food secure

w or none	
sumption score:	Proport



Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

nt ()		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other	
ti	Under 60	100%	44%	0%	56%	33%	11%	0%	78%	11%	11%	78%	0%	0%	0%	
	Over 60	100%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
It	Male	100%	25%	0%	63%	50%	0%	0%	88%	13%	13%	75%	0%	0%	0%	
	Female	100%	67%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	67%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	_
	Adult	100%	36%	0%	55%	36%	9%	0%	82%	9%	9%	55%	0%	0%	0%	
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Overall	100%	26%	0%	68%	53%	5%	5%	63%	16%	11%	74%	0%	0%	0%	

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	21%	0%	47%	32%	5%	0%	5%	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	11%	5%	0%	21%	21%	0%	5%	53%	0%	11%	63%	0%	0%
More than half	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%
Almost all	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	0%	74%	100%	32%	47%	95%	95%	37%	84%	89%	26%	100%	100%

tion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	33%	11%	0%	11%	22%	44%	22%	11%	22%	56%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	100%	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%
Male	13%	0%	0%	13%	38%	63%	25%	13%	25%	38%	0%	0%	0%
Female	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	33%	33%	0%	33%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	27%	9%	0%	9%	27%	55%	27%	9%	27%	55%	0%	0%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	26%	5%	0%	16%	16%	68%	26%	5%	19%	32%	0%	0%	16%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.



Funded by European Union Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid

Informing more effective <u>hum</u>anitarian action



ERM RESPONSE MECHANISM

Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Parwan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Parwan province a total of 6 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	31
Average number of families per HH:	1.03
Average HH size:	6.87

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	75%
Physically disabled member	15%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	15%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	20%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



81% High16% Medium

3% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



58% Poor42% Borderline0% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



84% Severely food insecure16% Moderately food insecure16% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t N		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
i	Under 60	100%	13%	0%	25%	38%	6%	13%	44%	44%	19%	50%	0%	0%	0%
	Over 60	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	25%	75%	50%	50%	75%	0%	0%	0%
t	Male	100%	12%	0%	18%	24%	12%	12%	59%	47%	18%	59%	0%	0%	0%
	Female	100%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	33%	0%	33%	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	100%	10%	0%	20%	30%	10%	15%	50%	45%	25%	27%	0%	0%	0%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	100%	16%	0%	26%	23%	13%	19%	52%	52%	19%	55%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	10%	0%	16%	6%	3%	0%	16%	29%	3%	10%	0%	0%
Less than half	35%	6%	0%	10%	16%	10%	13%	26%	23%	16%	39%	0%	0%
More than half	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%
Almost all	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	0%	84%	100%	74%	77%	87%	81%	48%	48%	81%	45%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	69%	0%	13%	0%	44%	88%	13%	0%	13%	13%	0%	0%	13%
Over 60	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	25%
Male	65%	0%	18%	0%	41%	82%	12%	6%	18%	18%	0%	0%	18%
Female	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	65%	0%	20%	0%	35%	85%	10%	5%	15%	15%	0%	0%	15%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	61%	16%	16%	0%	32%	77%	10%	3%	21%	29%	0%	0%	10%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Samangan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Samangan province a total of 7 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	66
Average number of families per HH:	1.03
Average HH size:	5.53

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	9%	
Physically disabled member	0%	
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%	
Female headed	73%	
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	18%	

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



 18%
 High

 82%
 Medium

0% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



14% Poor51% Borderline35% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



23% Severely food insecure
42% Moderately food insecure
42% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t)		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
, ti	Under 60	100%	22%	11%	22%	11%	67%	11%	67%	0%	22%	22%	0%	0%	0%
	Over 60	100%	0%	0%	50%	0%	100%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%
b	Male	100%	0%	33%	33%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%
	Female	100%	25%	0%	25%	13%	75%	13%	63%	0%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	100%	18%	9%	27%	9%	73%	9%	64%	0%	18%	31%	0%	0%	0%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	92%	17%	2%	20%	8%	58%	2%	61%	3%	36%	29%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	65%	17%	2%	20%	8%	55%	0%	38%	3%	18%	15%	0%	0%
More than half	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	21%	0%	18%	14%	0%	0%
Almost all	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	8%	83%	98%	80%	92%	42%	98%	39%	97%	64%	71%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	11%	0%	67%	0%	0%	33%	11%	0%	44%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	13%	0%	63%	0%	0%	25%	13%	0%	50%	13%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	9%	0%	64%	0%	0%	45%	9%	0%	36%	9%	0%	0%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	11%	6%	44%	0%	5%	27%	26%	2%	40%	32%	0%	2%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Sar e Pul Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Sar e Pul province a total of 13 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	134
Average number of families per HH:	1.02
Average HH size:	5.42

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	21%
Physically disabled member	9%
Child headed (under 18 years)	2%
Female headed	64%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	26%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



4% High30% Medium66% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



66% Poor22% Borderline12% Acceptable


Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



17% Severely food insecure69% Moderately food insecure69% Food secure

11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	0.1		A 41 1 1 1 1	B	0	A
use and	Unitcomes	N T	MIIITI	PIIRNOSE	l:asn	Assistance
	0410011100	•••	ITIMICI		U uuii	/1001010100

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:



Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	7%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	28%	4%	3%	13%	1%	24%	0%	21%	10%	22%	5%	0%	4%
More than half	64%	4%	1%	12%	3%	37%	2%	41%	1%	0%	19%	0%	1%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	1%	93%	96%	72%	96%	39%	98%	31%	84%	78%	75%	100%	95%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	28%	33%	5%	18%	44%	69%	21%	46%	54%	15%	0%	5%	0%
Over 60	50%	21%	0%	21%	71%	50%	36%	36%	71%	21%	0%	7%	0%
Male	42%	42%	0%	37%	53%	68%	37%	42%	58%	16%	0%	5%	0%
Female	29%	24%	6%	9%	50%	62%	18%	44%	59%	18%	0%	6%	0%
Adult	35%	31%	4%	19%	50%	65%	23%	44%	58%	17%	0%	6%	0%
Child	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	22%	20%	6%	14%	43%	47%	23%	36%	73%	11%	0%	31%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Takhar Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Takhar province a total of 17 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	135
Average number of families per HH:	1.07
Average HH size:	6.17

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	16%
Physically disabled member	19%
Child headed (under 18 years)	3%
Female headed	72%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	7%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



7% High 77% Medium

16% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



30% Poor54% Borderline16% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



27% Severely food insecure52% Moderately food insecure52% Food secure

Use and Outcomes	s of Multi Purpose	Cash Assistance
------------------	--------------------	-----------------

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

	Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
Under 60	100%	3%	3%	4%	6%	43%	9%	64%	10%	20%	55%	1%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	20%	0%	20%	20%	40%	0%	0%	0%
Male	100%	0%	5%	0%	10%	52%	5%	48%	14%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	100%	4%	2%	6%	4%	42%	11%	64%	9%	21%	51%	2%	0%	0%
Adult	100%	3%	3%	4%	6%	44%	10%	61%	11%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	100%	2%	2%	5%	7%	39%	8%	57%	7%	23%	51%	1%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

					-								
	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	0%	8%	0%	0%
Less than half	14%	1%	1%	3%	6%	36%	4%	44%	6%	20%	39%	1%	0%
More than half	61%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	9%	1%	3%	4%	0%	0%
Almost all	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	0%	98%	98%	95%	93%	61%	92%	43%	93%	77%	49%	99%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	67%	52%	0%	3%	61%	81%	22%	10%	74%	64%	19%	0%	0%
Over 60	60%	40%	0%	0%	60%	60%	40%	40%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Male	81%	43%	0%	5%	43%	90%	24%	24%	67%	43%	19%	0%	0%
Female	60%	55%	0%	2%	68%	75%	23%	8%	72%	68%	17%	0%	0%
Adult	65%	53%	0%	3%	61%	79%	22%	13%	69%	60%	18%	0%	0%
Child	100%	0%	0%	0%	50%	100%	50%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Overall	71%	50%	1%	2%	58%	83%	30%	12%	0%	64%	19%	0%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Uruzgan Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Uruzgan province a total of 1 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	64
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	7.77

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	N/A
Physically disabled member	N/A
Child headed (under 18 years)	N/A
Female headed	N/A
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	N/A

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:

0% High 0% Medium 100% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



0% Poor75% Borderline25% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



0% Severely food insecure 0% Moderately food insecure

0% Moderately food insecure0% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

nt s)		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other	
lti	Under 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
ut	Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Adult	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Overall	80%	0%	0%	63%	11%	84%	0%	47%	75%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	34%	66%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	66%	0%	0%	63%	11%	84%	0%	13%	9%	100%	0%	0%	0%
More than half	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	20%	100%	100%	38%	89%	16%	100%	53%	25%	0%	100%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Over 60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Adult	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	98%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion

Post Distribution Monitoring Overview: Zabul Province Afghanistan Emergency Response Mechanism, August 2017 - April 2018

Post distribution monitoring (PDM) is carried out according to standards defined in the common rational for the Emergency Response Mechanism 7. Monitoring takes place two months after emergency assistance has been provided. Ten percent (10%) of the assisted households (or at least ten households) are monitored to assess the short term outcomes of the multi purpose cash assistance.

In Zabul province a total of 2 PDMs have been carried out between August 2017 and April 2018.

Demographics

Total number of households (HHs) monitored:	25
Average number of families per HH:	1
Average HH size:	7.56

Proportion of HHs with additional vulnerabilities:

Chronically ill member	20%
Physically disabled member	20%
Child headed (under 18 years)	0%
Female headed	40%
Elderly headed (over 60 years)	20%

Food Security

Proportion of HHs per coping strategy index level:



0% High16% Medium84% Low or none

Proportion of HHs per food consumption score:



Poor 0% Borderline 0% Acceptable

Proportion of HHs per food security index level:



16% Severely food insecure84% Moderately food insecure84% Food secure

Use and Outcomes of Multi Purpose Cash Assistance

Proportion of HHs reporting expenditure on household needs in the month before the PDM per type of HH head:

t		Food	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Paying debts	Education	Saved	Other
ti	Under 60	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%	0%	75%	0%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Over 60	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
t	Male	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41%	0%	100%	0%	67%	62%	0%	0%	0%
	Female	100%	0%	0%	50%	0%	100%	0%	50%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Adult	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%	60%	0%	80%	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Overall	96%	4%	0%	32%	0%	68%	0%	88%	0%	76%	4%	0%	0%	0%

Proportion of HHs reporting amount of household's budget spend on household needs:

	Food	Kitchen Items	Hygiene Items	Clothes	Blankets	Rent	Shelter Repair	Health	Transpor- tation	Utilities	Debt payment	Education	Saved
Almost nothing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Less than half	4%	4%	0%	32%	0%	68%	0%	76%	0%	76%	4%	0%	0%
More than half	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Almost all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Don't know/ N/A	4%	96%	100%	68%	100%	32%	100%	12%	100%	24%	96%	100%	100%

Proportion of HHs reporting important needs remaining after receiving cash assistance, per type of HH head:

	Food	Rent	Shelter repairs	Education costs	Health costs	Electricity or fuel	Kitchen items	Hygiene items	Clothes	Blankets	Transport	None	Other
Under 60	75%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Over 60	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	67%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Adult	80%	0%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	92%	8%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

¹ Food-based coping strategy index is calculated by multiplying coping strategy use by number of days used in the last seven. Consumption score is the calculation of food groups eaten in the last seven days. Food security index is the triangulation of these two scores.







Low proportion