**GENERAL MOVEMENT TRENDS**

Monthly, average daily number of individuals inbound to (grey) and outbound from (red) South Sudan with the intention to stay in their final destination for more than six months recorded in Renk Town from September 2018 to April 2020:

- **Inbound**
  - Renk Town: 27
  - Multiple towns in Sudan: 15
  - Uganda: 4
  - Ethiopia: 1
- **Outbound**
  - Renk Town: 38
  - Malakal PoC: 15
  - Oficina: 3
  - Juba: 2

In April, cross-border population inflows and outflows dropped below an average of one individual a day, likely due to border closures connected to COVID-19.

**Type of movement**

- **Total monthly number of HHs and individuals recorded in April 2020:**
  - Inbound to Sudan from Sudan: 8
  - Outbound to Sudan from Sudan: 1
  - Internal movement within Sudan: 42

**Vulnerabilities**

- **89%** of total HHs travelling across borders reported that at least one member of the HH had a vulnerability:
  - Single parent: 56%
  - Critically ill: 11%
  - Separated child: 11%

**Self-reported refugees**

- **83%** of total HHs travelling internally reported that at least one member of the HH had a vulnerability:
  - Single parent: 36%
  - Critically ill: 24%
  - Separated child: 24%

**Reasons for coming to South Sudan**

- Primary reported push factors for HHs travelling across borders to leave their last location:
  - Lack of job opportunities: 22%
  - Distance from family / home: 22%
  - Lack of health services: 22%

- **January 2019**: 35% Proximity to family / home
  - **February 2020**: 31%
  - **March 2019**: 39%
  - **April 2020**: 33%

**Reasons for leaving South Sudan**

- **January 2019**: 18% Perceived availability of food
  - **February 2020**: 32%
  - **March 2019**: 16%
  - **April 2020**: 33%

**Notes:**

1. This percentage is based off of households (HHs), not individuals.
2. Respondents could choose more than one answer. The three most frequent answers for each movement type are reported here.
3. Elderly, breastfeeding, and pregnant women were also reported as vulnerabilities by 11% of cross-border travellers.
4. Unless otherwise specified, these figures reflect trends for all HHs interviewed, regardless of the length of time that the HHs are planning to stay at destination.
5. Malakal County and Renk County were also named as intended destinations by 11% of HHs travelling across borders in April 2020.