Overview
The continuation of conflict in Northeast Nigeria has created a complex humanitarian crisis, rendering sections of Borno state as hard to reach. To address information gaps facing the humanitarian response in Northeast Nigeria and inform humanitarian actors on the demographics of households in hard-to-reach areas of Northeast Nigeria, as well as to identify their needs, access to services and movement intentions, REACH has been conducting a monthly assessment of hard-to-reach areas in Northeast Nigeria since November 2018.

Using its Area of Knowledge (AoK) methodology, REACH remotely monitors the situation in hard-to-reach areas through monthly multi-sector interviews in accessible Local Government Area (LGA) capitals with the following typology of Key Informants (KIs):

- KIs who are newly arrived internally displaced persons (IDPs) who have left a hard-to-reach settlement in the last 3 months
- KIs who have had contact with someone living or having been in a hard-to-reach settlement in the last month (traders, migrants, family members, etc.)

Selected KIs are purposively sampled and are interviewed on settlement-wide circumstances in hard-to-reach areas, rather than their individual experiences. Responses from KIs reporting on the same settlement are then aggregated to the settlement level. The most common response provided by the greatest number of KIs is reported for each settlement. When no most common response could be identified, the response is considered as ‘no consensus’. While included in the calculations, the percentage of settlements for which no consensus was reached is not displayed in the results below.

Results presented in this factsheet, unless otherwise specified, represent the proportion of settlements assessed within a LGA. Findings are only reported on LGAs where at least 5% of populated settlements have been assessed and where at least 5 settlements have been assessed. The most recent version of the VTS dataset (released in February 2019 on 354reach.org) has been used as the reference for settlement names and locations, and adjusted for deserted villages (OCHA 2020).

Assessment Coverage

503 Key Informants interviewed
214 Settlements assessed
14 LGAs assessed
8 LGAs with sufficient coverage

Access to education services

Proportion of assessed settlements reporting presence of education services (formal / informal)\(^1\) that the population could walk to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of settlements reporting presence of education services (formal / informal)(^1) that the population could walk to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGA boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 - 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 - 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessed Settlement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of those reporting no presence of education services that people could walk to (54%), main reasons why no education services were accessible:

- Never had education facilities nearby 60%
- Destroyed by conflict 20%
- Teachers have stopped working 3%
- Schools not allowed to operate 3%
- Destroyed by natural disaster 3%

13% of assessed settlements that have access to education services (41%) reported that at least one child attends formal\(^2\) schooling (13% for girls, 10% for boys)

97% of assessed settlements that have access to education services (41%) reported that at least one girl attends informal schooling (97% for boys)

The main reason for not attending formal\(^2\) schooling in assessed settlements that have access to education services is safety concerns - 34% for girls; 33% for boys

---
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Informing more effective humanitarian action