Initial Findings on Gaza Shelter Response
Gaza City, 20 December 2016
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Research Objective & Questions

Evaluate the outcomes of different types of shelter assistance delivered to conflict affected population following 2014 events in Gaza.

• To what extent was each approach appropriate to meet beneficiaries’ shelter and NFIs needs

• To what extent was each approach cost-effective in aiding household recovery and/or return of the IDP population affected by the conflict as per Shelter Cluster response objectives

• To what extent are response gaps and residual shelter needs, at household level, related to the 2014 conflict, still present in Gaza
Methodology

1. Staffing
   - 5 Hub Coordinators
   - 50 Enumerators
   - 14 Drivers

2. Developed questionnaire with guidance from Phase I and Shelter Cluster

3. Extracted random sample from MoPWH database

4. Interviewed 1039 household over 10 days

5. Preparing to clean and analyze data
   - Findings stratified by level of shelter damage
   - Comparisons between governorates are indicative only
Keep in Mind

*Don’t get bogged down in the exact numbers*

- The data still require cleaning
- The data provide multiple ways to answer the research questions, this presentation is just one way to look at the findings

*More important to think about:*

- How well do these findings align with my messaging?
- What surprises me or goes against my assumptions?
- What confirms things I already know?
Number of Surveys Completed, by Level of Damage

- Competely Destroyed: 266
- Severe Damage: 292
- Major Damage: 236
- Minor Damage: 245
Are Repairs Completed?

- Competely Destroyed: 24% No, 76% Yes
- Severe Damage: 50% No, 50% Yes
- Major Damage: 41% No, 59% Yes
- Minor Damage: 51% No, 49% Yes
Living in Pre-2014 Shelter?

- Competely Destroyed: 33%
- Severe Damage: 83%
- Major Damage: 95%
- Minor Damage: 96%

- No
- Yes
Did You Receive Assistance?

- Competely Destroyed: 15% (85% No, 15% Yes)
- Severe Damage: 18% (82% No, 18% Yes)
- Major Damage: 38% (62% No, 38% Yes)
- Minor Damage: 35% (65% No, 35% Yes)
Did You Receive Cash Assistance?

- Competely Destroyed: 78% No, 22% Yes
- Severe Damage: 73% No, 27% Yes
- Major Damage: 45% No, 55% Yes
- Minor Damage: 55% No, 45% Yes
Current State of Pre-2014 House

- Competely Destroyed: 35%
- Severe Damage: 24%
- Major Damage: 29%
- Minor Damage: 24%

No Repairs or Demolition:
- Competely Destroyed: 30%
- Severe Damage: 22%
- Major Damage: 13%
- Minor Damage: 35%

Currently Repairing or Demolishing:
- Competely Destroyed: 13%
- Severe Damage: 53%
- Major Damage: 54%
- Minor Damage: 65%

Demolished:
- Competely Destroyed: 0%
- Severe Damage: 1%
- Major Damage: 1%
- Minor Damage: 10%

Same or Better:
- Competely Destroyed: 100%
- Severe Damage: 0%
- Major Damage: 0%
- Minor Damage: 0%
Current State of Pre-2014 House, by Refugee Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Repairs or Demolition</th>
<th>Currently Repairing or Demolishing</th>
<th>Demolished</th>
<th>Same or Better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Completion of Repairs, by Number of Vulnerable People in Household

- 0: 44%
- 1: 42%
- 2: 33%
- 3+: 29%

$R^2 = 0.9608$

Graph shows a linear relationship with Yes and Linear (Yes) markers.
Condition of Current Housing

- Competely Destroyed: 13% (Very Poor: 18%, Poor: 52%, Good: 38%)
- Severe Damage: 23% (Very Poor: 25%, Poor: 38%, Good: 38%)
- Major Damage: 23% (Very Poor: 19%, Poor: 46%, Good: 35%)
- Minor Damage: 28% (Very Poor: 16%, Poor: 44%, Good: 32%)
Personal Money Spent on Materials (NIS), Household Average

- Competely Destroyed: 48,359
- Severe Damage: 35,613
- Major Damage: 26,784
- Minor Damage: 8,251
Current State of Pre-2014 Houses, by Receipt of Assistance

- Received Assistance
  - No Repairs or Demolition: 24%
  - Currently Repairing or Demolishing: 20%
  - Demolished: 4%
  - Same or Better: 52%

- No Assistance
  - No Repairs or Demolition: 39%
  - Currently Repairing or Demolishing: 12%
  - Demolished: 4%
  - Same or Better: 45%
Biggest Remaining Need to Ensure Full Recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Level</th>
<th>8%</th>
<th>11%</th>
<th>9%</th>
<th>11%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competely Destroyed</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Damage</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Damage</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Damage</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Other (Training, Tools, etc)
- Building Supplies
- Money
Received Materials through GRM

- Competely Destroyed: 21% (Did Not Apply), 28% (Yes in part), 18% (Yes in full), 32% (No)
- Severe Damage: 32% (Did Not Apply), 26% (Yes in part), 26% (Yes in full), 30% (No)
- Major Damage: 32% (Did Not Apply), 26% (Yes in part), 25% (Yes in full), 17% (No)
- Minor Damage: 48% (Did Not Apply), 9% (Yes in part), 25% (Yes in full), 18% (No)
Received Materials through GRM, by Receipt of Assistance

- Received Assistance:
  - 34% Did Not Apply
  - 25% Yes in part
  - 27% Yes in full
  - 15% No

- No Assistance:
  - 31% Did Not Apply
  - 17% Yes in part
  - 19% Yes in full
  - 34% No
Planned Refuge in Case of New Emergency

- Competely Destroyed: 61% UNRWA DES, 9% Nearest DES, 3% Host Family, 3% Friends, 25% Family
- Severe Damage: 60% UNRWA DES, 9% Nearest DES, 5% Host Family, 9% Friends, 24% Family
- Major Damage: 57% UNRWA DES, 8% Nearest DES, 5% Host Family, 8% Friends, 22% Family
- Minor Damage: 56% UNRWA DES, 7% Nearest DES, 4% Host Family, 2% Friends, 32% Family
Open Discussion on Analysis
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